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## Image Segmentation

 is the division of the image plane into regionsTwo basic questions:


1- What kind of regions do we want?

- Homogeneous regions
- Segment similarity

2- How can we obtain them?

- Algorithm desing


## HIERARCHICAL SEGMENTATION BY STEP-WISE OPTIMISATION

A hierarchical segmentation begins with an initial partition $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ (with N segments) and then sequentially merges these segments.
level $\mathrm{n}+1$
level $n$
level n -1


Segment tree

## STEP-WISE OPTIMISATION

- A criterion, corresponding to a measure of segment similarity, is used to define which segments to merge.
- At each iteration, an optimization process finds the two most similar segments and merges them.
- This can be represented by a segment tree, one node per iteration, where only the two most similar segments are merged.

Sequence of segment merges.


## Implantation aspects

- too many segments
- merge only neighbour segments
- avoid recalculation



## IMAGE APPROXIMATION

- Each segment, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$, is represented by an approximation function, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$.
- The approximation error is defined as

$$
\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\sum_{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \varepsilon \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})\right)^{2}
$$



- The goal is to find the image segmentation that produces the lowest overall approximation error.
- In hierarchical segmentation, this results in sequentially merging the segments that produce the smallest increases in the approximation error.
- Thus, the step-wise criterion is

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}} \cup \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)
$$

- This assures that each iteration does its best to minimize the overall approximation error.


## Constant value approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}(x, y) \simeq r_{i}(x, y)=\mu_{i} \quad \text { (mean) } \\
& C_{i, j}=\frac{N_{i} \times N_{j}}{N_{i}+N_{j}}\left[\mu_{i}-\mu_{j}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multi-spectral image

$$
C_{i, j}=\frac{N_{i} \times N_{j}}{N_{i}+N_{j}} \sum_{k} w^{k}\left[\mu_{i}^{k}-\mu_{j}^{k}\right]^{2}
$$

## A SMALL EXAMPLE

Gray
level
values



Initial
partition


Sequence of segment merges.


## Segment description parameters and neighbour lists.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mu_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | (neighbour lists) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 3 | 1.0 | S2 | S4 | S5 | S6 |  |
| S2 | 3 | 2.0 | S1 | S3 | S4 | S5 |  |
| S3 | 3 | 13.0 | S2 | S5 | S7 |  |  |
| S4 | 1 | 10.0 | S1 | S2 | S5 |  |  |
| S5 | 2 | 3.0 | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 S | S7 |
| S6 | 2 | 6.0 | S1 | S5 | S7 |  |  |
| S7 | 2 | 10.0 | S3 | S5 | S6 |  |  |

Make the information explicit.

## Calcul of criteria from segment descriptors

|  |  | Lists of criteria at each iteration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i, $\mathbf{j}$ | Ci,j | it. 1 |
| 1,2 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 1,4 | 60.7 | 60.7 |
| 1,5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| 1,6 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 2,3 | 181.5 | 181.5 |
| 2,4 | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| 2,5 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 3,5 | 120.0 | 120.0 |
| 3,7 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| 4,5 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| 5,6 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| 5,7 | 49.0 | 49.0 |
| 6,7 | 16.0 | 16.0 |

## Update segment description and neighbour lists.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mu_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | (neighbour lists) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 3 | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | S2 | S4 | S5 | S6 |  |  |  |
| S2 | 3 | 2.0 | S1 | S3 | S4 | S5 |  |  |  |
| S3 | 3 | 13.0 | S2 | S5 | S7 |  |  |  |  |
| S4 | 1 | 10.0 | S1 | S2 | S5 |  |  |  |  |
| S5 | 2 | 3.0 | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S6 | S7 |  |
| S6 | 2 | 6.0 | S1 | S5 | S7 |  |  |  |  |
| S7 | 2 | 10.0 | S3 | S5 | S6 |  |  |  |  |
| S8 | 5 | 2.4 | S1 | S3 | S4 | S6 | S7 |  |  |

Recursive descriptor and criterion

| i, j | $\mathrm{Ci}, \mathrm{j}$ | it. 1 | it. 2 | it. 3 | it. 4 | it. 5 | it. 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1, 2 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1, 4 | 60.7 | 60.7 | 60.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1, 5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1, 6 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2, 3 | 181.5 | 181.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2, 4 | 48.0 | 48.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2, 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3, 5 | 120.0 | 120.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3, 7 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 |  |  |  |
| 4, 5 | 32.7 | 32.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5, 6 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5, 7 | 49.0 | 49.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6, 7 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| 8, 1 | 3.7 |  | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8, 3 | 210.7 |  | 210.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8, 4 | 48.1 |  | 48.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 8, 6 | 18.5 |  | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 8, 7 | 82.5 |  | 82.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 9, 3 | 270.0 |  |  | 270.0 |  |  |  |
| 9, 4 | 58.7 |  |  | 58.7 | 58.7 |  |  |
| 9, 6 | 27.2 |  |  | 27.2 | 27.2 |  |  |
| 9, 7 | 105.6 |  |  | 105.6 |  |  |  |
| 10, 6 | 48.1 |  |  |  | 48.1 |  |  |
| 10, 9 | 303.1 |  |  |  | 303.1 |  |  |
| 11, 4 | 48.4 |  |  |  |  | 48.4 |  |
| 11, 10 | 277.0 |  |  |  |  | 277.0 |  |
| 12, 10 | 244.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 244.6 |

Segment description parameters and neighbour lists.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mu_{\mathrm{i}}$ | B $_{\mathbf{i}}$ | (neighbour lists) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 3 | 1.0 | S2 | S4 | S5 | S6 |  |  |  |
| S2 | 3 | 2.0 | S1 | S3 | S4 | S5 |  |  |  |
| S3 | 3 | 13.0 | S2 | S5 | S7 |  |  |  |  |
| S4 | 1 | 10.0 | S1 | S2 | S5 |  |  |  |  |
| S5 | 2 | 3.0 | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S6 | S7 |  |
| S6 | 2 | 6.0 | S1 | S5 | S7 |  |  |  |  |
| S7 | 2 | 10.0 | S3 | S5 | S6 |  |  |  |  |
| S8 | 5 | 2.4 | S1 | S3 | S4 | S6 | S7 |  |  |
| S9 | 8 | 1.9 | S3 | S4 | S6 | S7 |  |  |  |
| S10 | 5 | 11.8 | S6 | S9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| S11 | 10 | 2.7 | S4 | S10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| S12 | 11 | 3.4 | S10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S13 | 16 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sequence of segment merges.


iter. 6
iter. 5
iter. 4
iter. 3
iter. 2
iter. 1


## SEGMENTATION OF 32x32 LANDSAT IMAGES




36
segments


212
segments




## Sum of approximation error




## SEGMIENTATION OF 128x128x4 LANDSAT IMAGES






## SEGMENTATION OF SAR IMAGE

SAR IMAGE $\rightarrow$ COHERENT SIGNAL (RADAR)
$\rightarrow$ INTERFERENCE PATTERN


## MULTIPLICATIVE NOISE



NOISE IS PROPORTIONAL TO THE AMPLITUDE

## NEW CRITERION

The segment dispersion (difference) is divided by the segment mean

$$
C_{i, j}=\frac{N_{i} \times N_{j}}{N_{i}+N_{j}}\left[\frac{\mu_{i}-\mu_{j}}{\mu_{i \cup j}}\right]^{2}
$$

## IMPORTANT NOISE

PROBLEM WITH THE FIRST MERGES

## 4 regions, 4 looks, 100x100



## 10 segments, standard criterion



## 10 segments, shape criterion



## standard criterion



100 Segments


1000 Segments


2000 Segments

## Shape vs standard criterion, 1000 segments


with shape criterion

without shape criterion


## SHAPE CRITERIA

-Bonding box - perimeter Cp
-Bonding box - area Ca
-Contour length
Cl
New criteria

$$
C s_{i, j}=C_{i, j} * C p^{2} * C a * C l
$$

Bonding box - perimeter

$$
C p=\frac{\text { perimeter of } S_{i} \cup S_{j}}{\text { perimeter of bonding box }}
$$



Bonding box - area

$$
C a=\frac{\text { area of bonding box }}{\text { area of } S_{i} \cup S_{j}}
$$



## Contour length










Segments


Segments


1K
Segments

## CONCLUSION

- Hierarchical segmentation produces goods results
-Criterion should be adapted to the application
-The first merges should be done correctly
- Shape criteria are useful

